

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/420,719	10/20/1999	MARIKO MIYASHITA	10059-308(P2	3194
570 7.	590 12/03/2002			
AKIN, GUMP, STRAUSS, HAUER & FELD, L.L.P. ONE COMMERCE SQUARE, SUITE 2200 2005 MARKET STREET			EXAMINER	
			PADMANABHAN, KARTIC	
PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1641	270
		DATE MAILED: 12/03/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	L Application N	Annicontal				
	Application N .	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Cummans	09/420,719	MIYASHITA ET AL.				
Offic Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
TI MAN INC DATE Aship communication of	Kartic Padmanabhan	1641				
Th MAILING DATE f this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Peri d f r Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09	September 2002 .					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ T	his action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>19,21,24,25 and 29</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>19,21,24,25 and 29</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>20 October 1999</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)⊠ All b) Some * c) None of:						
1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of Ref rences Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal I	r (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 1641

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on September 9, 2002 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in-
- (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effect under this subsection of a national application published under section 122(b) only if the international application designating the United States was published under Article 21(2)(a) of such treaty in the English language; or
- (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that a patent shall not be deemed filed in the United States for the purposes of this subsection based on the filing of an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a).
- 3. Claims 19, 21, 24 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Obata et al. (US Pat. 5,571,419). The reference discloses a method and apparatus for producing pure water. According to the reference, raw water is introduced into filtration units through a pipe and treated. After undergoing cation exchange, the water is supplied to an acidic softened water tank and stored. It is inherent that the pH of the raw water is altered in some way in this tank. An oxidizing agent, which may be hydrogen peroxide, is added to the raw water through a pipe. A heater provided with a boiler then heats the water. The water is then introduced into a reaction chamber where urea is

Application/Control Number: 09/420,719

Art Unit: 1641

decomposed by catalytic heat treatment. At the end of the process, the now pure water is released (col. 4, lines 30-67 and Figs. 1-8). Since well water and taper can be filtered using the apparatus of the reference, it is inherent that the purified water is fit for human consummation in some fashion. Since a person tasting water is interpreted as a biosensor analyzing a sample, the claim limitations are met.

Page 3

4. Claims 19, 25, and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Blatt et al. (US Pat. 5,945,345). Blatt et al. disclose a device for removing interferants comprising a filter including a solid phase support and an active chemical component for binding and immobilizing the interferant. In one embodiment, a sample is introduced to a solid phase support where the interfering substance is immobilized, and the "clean" sample is released. The device of the reference may also comprise a sample pad made of nylon, which is inherently elastic (stretchable). The sample is supplied to this pad, which qualifies this component as a sample supply unit. This unit has not been limited in any way to a unit that supplies a sample to the biosensor.

Response to Arguments

- 5. Applicant's arguments filed September 9, 2002 have been fully considered and persuasive to overcome the Rosman, Kondo, Khanna, and Liu references, but they are not persuasive to overcome Obata or Blatt.
- 6. Applicant argues that the references only teach physical separation of interferants. However,
 Obata teaches the use of a catalyst in the water purification process, which is one of applicant's
 recited methods for removing interferants. In addition, Blatt teaches the use of a chemical component
 that binds (adsorbs) interferants, which is not interpreted as being physical separation.
- 7. It is also noted that analysis by a biosensor that electrochemically measures a specific component is merely the intended use of the sample after treatment. A recitation of the intended use

Application/Control Number: 09/420,719

Art Unit: 1641

of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the

prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art

structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim. In a claim drawn to a

process of making, the intended use must result in a manipulative difference as compared to the prior

art. See In re Casey, 152 USPQ 235 (CCPA 1967) and In re Otto, 136 USPQ 458, 459 (CCPA

1963).

Conclusion

Claims 19, 21, 24, 25, and 29 are rejected.

Reference: Davis et al. is cited as art of interest for teaching the removal of interferants.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kartic Padmanabhan whose telephone number is 703-305-0509. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:30-5:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Long Le can be reached on 703-305-3399. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-746-5207 for regular communications and 703-305-3014 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0196.

Kartic Padmanabhan Patent Examiner

Art Unit 1641

November 19, 2002

LONG V. LE

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600

1/29/02

Page 4